



This slide describes the process of records' validation within the registry.



Centres participating in CNHP

11.4 6.8	Brno – Dpt. Of Clin Hematol, UH Brno	146	10
6.8	Ostrava - Blood centre, LIH Ostrava		19
	ostrava biood centre, on ostrava	77	10
3.6	Hradec Králové – IV. Internal and Hematology Dpt., UH HK	62	8.
3.3	Olomouc – Haemato-Oncology Dpt., UH Olomouc	61	8
2.8	Pilsen – Dpt. of Biochemistry and Hematology, UH Pilsen	49	6.
1.9	Liberec – Dpt. Of Clin Hematol, Hospital	41	5.
1.7	České Budějovice – Dpt. Of Clin Hematol, Hospital CB	26	3.
	Ústí n.L. – Dpt. Of Clin Hematol, Masaryk Hospital	26	3.
	Pilsen - Hemacentrum	9	1
	3.3 2.8 1.9 1.9 1.7	3.3 Olomouc – Haemato-Oncology Dpt., UH 3.3 Olomouc – Haemato-Oncology Dpt., UH 2.8 Pilsen – Dpt. of Biochemistry and 1.9 Liberec – Dpt. Of Clin Hematol, Hospital 1.9 Liberec – Dpt. Of Clin Hematol, Hospital 1.7 České Budějovice – Dpt. Of Clin Hematol, Hospital CB Ústí n.L. – Dpt. Of Clin Hematol, Masaryk Hospital Pilsen - Hemacentrum	3.3 Olomouc – Haemato-Oncology Dpt., UH 61 3.3 Olomouc – Haemato-Oncology Dpt., UH 61 2.8 Pilsen – Dpt. of Biochemistry and 49 1.9 Liberec – Dpt. Of Clin Hematol, Hospital 41 1.7 České Budějovice – Dpt. Of Clin Hematol, Masaryk 26 Ústí n.L. – Dpt. Of Clin Hematol, Masaryk 26 Pilsen - Hemacentrum 9

Centres contributing to the CNHP registry.



Though the percentage of PWH over 65 years has not been increasing dramatically over last several years, dealing with elderly people with haemophilia will be the challenge for treaters.



Summary description of the PWH with inhibitors within registry. There are five other PWH with inhibitors in the centre not participating in CNHP registry.

Please note further increased number of PWH with inhibitors in 2016. Four children with haemophilia A, (all severe PUPs) developed inhibitors in 2016. Most of them were HR inhibitors (only 1 was LR). All inhibitors developed on rFVIII, as recombinant factors are treatment of choice in PUPs in Czech Republic

Six children were on ITI in 2016. Two of them started earlier, four started in 2016. ITI was successfully finished in 3 children in 2016, however, in one patient inhibitors relapsed at the end of 2016. No adults were on ITI in 2016.

The number of new inhibitors was thus higher, than the number of successfully achieved ITIs in 2016.



This slide describes in more details all PWH with "active" inhibitors within CNHP registry. Most, but not all of children with HT inhibitors are on ITI for different reasons. (Reasons not reported here, but often: previous ITI failure, waiting for inhibitors <10BU to start ITI, no consent for ITI provided by parents etc...) No adult PWH was on ITI in 2016.

Patients with inhibitors will be reported separately in details later in 2017.

Diagnosis	IΠ	"By-pass" prophylaxis	Ν	ABR (mean)	ABR (median)	Joint / other bleeds (mean)
laemophilia A	Yes	Permanent	1	7.0	7	2/5
		Temporary	4	3.3	1	0/1
		OD	1	6.0	6	0/6
	No	Permanent	2	15.0	15	11.5/3.5
		Temporary	3	1.7	0	0/0
		OD	7	2.9	0	0/0
laemophilia B		Temporary	1	25.0	25	15/10

Patients with inhibitors, who have frequent joint bleeds, are on permanent prophylaxis with by-passing agents. Despite this, some of them have still high ABR.







Median age at diagnosis is different for adults and children with HA. (In the past, the diagnostic options were worse, than they are today.)



Mean age of Czech adults with HA is around 40 years. Mean age of children with HA is around 10 years.



No HepC infection in children since late 90's. None of Czech children with HA is infected with Hepatitis C.



There has been NO NEW HepC infection in 2016.

119 PWHA ever experienced hepatitis, though some of them may have been successfully treated (numbers of successfully treated not shown here).



Very low number of HIV positive PWH due to low/no access to contaminated concentrates in 80s and 90s. Our current treatment is on a very high safety level. No new HIV+ PWH reported since late 90s.



	Valid ı w haemo	persons vith ophilia A		Perso annu in	ons with al report 2016		Pe exa in	rsons mined 2016		Pe tre in	rsons eated 2016
	N	%		N	%		N	%		Ν	%
All	649	100%	\rightarrow	633	97.5%	\rightarrow	478	73.7%	\rightarrow	347	53.5%
of them with inhibitor	18			18			17			14	
Children	213	100%	\rightarrow	211	99.1%	\rightarrow	182	85.4%	\rightarrow	124	58.2%
of them with inhibitor	9			9			9			9	
Adults	436	100%	\rightarrow	422	96.8%	\rightarrow	296	67.9%	\rightarrow	223	51.1%
of them with inhibitor	9			9			8			5	

There are records of nearly 80% of all Czech haemophiliacs in total within the CNHP registry. As for paediatric population, ALL children are recorded. CNHP registry also houses records of over two thirds of adult haemophiliacs in Czech Republic. Further slides display analyses performed only on records, which were updated during 2016. Not all patients came to the centre (especially adults) and not all centres fully reported all data in 2016. Thus not all records have been updated and used for further analyses. Though the data completeness has further improved in 2016, it remains our task to get as close as possible to 100% in future years. This goal shall be reached by introducing of the data monitoring in 2017. (Ideally, percentage of PWH with annual report should be equal to PWH examined and both should be 100%.)

One adult is not included in further detailed analyses of treatment due to only partially filled 2016 annual report.



Data shown document good efficacy of care provided to Czech PWH, no matter what age category they are. Mean/Median number of bleedings per year (ABR) is 7,3/2 for adults and 4,4/2 in children with severe HA.

In 2015 the numbers for children were 4,7/3 and for adults 7,4/3.



There was one CNS bleed in children with haemophilia in 2016. 52,6% of children had no bleed at all.



Bleeding events in adults.



This figure refers to preventive factors administration in children with HA.



This figure refers to preventive treatment in adults with HA





This slide supports good effect of permanent prophylaxis in children. Rate of prophylaxis decreased from 94,1% in 2015 to 86,1% in 2016 among children with severe haemophilia A. Those children with severe haemophilia, who are not on permanent prophylaxis yet shall be encouraged to do so. (see comment below) Number of bleeds per year (median) in severe haemophilacs A on prophylaxis decreased from 3,5 in 2015 to 3/year in 2016. ABR in children with severe haemophilia A on OD decreased from 3 to 1,5.

We should, however, still bear in mind, that over 2 bleeds/year may lead to significant joint damage, and we shall further work on this issue! We are likely able to prevent almost all spontaneous bleeds, but we should focus on trauma bleeds in still more and more active children. This is true specially for children with severe haemophilia.



Children with HA on permanent prophylaxis had median of joint bleeds per year below 2 for the first time in the history of CNHP registry. This is, indeed, a great success, however, there are still children, who have over 10 joint bleeds per year! Please note also very high - and thus unacceptable - number of joint bleeds in some children with moderate HA, when treated "on-demand" (interval range 0-18)! These children definitely deserve prophylaxis.



Prophylaxis works very well in Czech adult PWHs! It is able to decrease bleedings from 5 to 1 (median numbers). In 2015 median ABR in adult PWHs with severe haemophilia was 10 on OD and 2 on prophylaxis.

Rate of prophylaxis increased from 54,8% in 2015 to 59,6% among adults with severe haemophilia A.

Use of prophylaxis will certainly increase the factor consumption in adults, but the benefit shown as far less bleedings is undoubted.



Median of joint bleeds per year is below 2 also in adults with severe HA on prophylaxis. It is however seen, that some adult PWHA still have significant number of joint bleeds despite the prophylaxis. Wide interval range for those with severe and moderate HA treated "on demand" suggests, that more adults with HA should be commenced on prophylaxis.

As described later in this report, doses for adults (in IU/kg/year) are still significantly lower, than in children with the same disease.

		unig	101	reat	mer	ιt	Hae N=4
r	egin	nen	and	age			* without
Frequency of bleeding	М	ild*	Mod	erate*	Sev	ere*	
Treatment regimen	OD	Prophy	OD	Prophy	OD	Prophy	
N total	180	0	18	4	69	61	
Mean	0.2		2.5	6.8	11.7	4.1	6 dulas (ha ana 6)
Median (min – max)	0 (0 - 8)		0 (0 - 34)	3.5 (0 - 20)	5.5 (0 - 60)	1 (0 - 40)	Adults (naem A)
Total no of recorded bleeds	34		45	27	795	253	NI-222
adults on permanent prophylaxis	0	0%)	4 (1	8.2%)	61 (4	6.9%)	N-332
% of factor (FVIII) consumed by children on permanent prophylaxis	o	.0%	77	. 6 %	73.	.2%	
Frequency of bleeding	M	ild*	Mode	erate*	Sev	ere*	
Treatment regimen	OD	Prophy	OD	Prophy	OD	Prophy	
N total	41	0	11	3	3	23	Adults (baem A)
Mean	0.3		0.6	0.7	0.3	3.6	horn in 1990 or
Median (min – max)	0 (0 - 3)		1 (0 - 2)	1 (0 - 1)	0 (0 – 1)	1 (0 – 42)	later
Total no of recorded bleeds	11		7	2	1	<u>83</u>	N=81
adults on permanent prophylaxis	0 (0%)	3 (2	1.4%)	23 (8	8.5%)	11-01
% of factor (FVIII) consumed by children on permanent prophylaxis	0.	0%	90	.3%	96.	. 6 %	

This important table shows in general significant difference in bleeding rates between adult PWH born before 1990 (when concentrates and thus also prophylaxis became available in CZ) and PWH born later.

This difference, however, disappears, when comparing adults with severe haemophilia A on prophylaxis. In both groups the medians and interval ranges are similar. In other words, prophylaxis works very well also in those, with already damaged joints. We advocate for more tertiary prophylaxis in adult PWH.

Joint and o	othe	r bl	eeds	saco	cord	ing	to					
treatm	ent	reg	ime	n ar	nd ag	ge	* without inhit location of bleed					
Frequency of bleeding	Mi	ld*	Mode	erate*	Sev	ere*						
Treatment regimen	OD	prophy	OD	prophy	OD	prophy						
N valid	180	0	18	4	61	55						
JOINT BLEEDS												
Mean	0.1		2.4	3.8	9.8	3.1	Adults (haem A)					
Median (range)	0 (0 - 4)		0 (0 - 34)	3.5 (0 - 8)	3 (0 - 60)	1 (0 – 33)	born <u>before 1990</u>					
Total no of recorded bleeds	13		44	15	579	169	N=318					
OTHER BLEEDS												
Mean	0.1		0.1	3.0	0.8	0.4						
Median (range)	0 (0 - 5)		0 (0 - 1)	0 (0 - 12)	0 (0 – 7)	0 (0 – 3)						
Total no of recorded bleeds	21		2	12	49	19						
Frequency of bleeding	Mi	ld*	Mode	erate*	Sev	ere*						
Treatment regimen	OD	prophy	OD	prophy	OD	prophy						
N valid	41	0	11	3	3	22						
JOINT BLEEDS							Adults (haem A)					
Mean	0.1		0.3	0.0	0.3	2.4	born in 1990 or					
Median (range)	0 (0 - 1)		0 (0 – 2)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 – 1)	1 (0 – 22)	later					
Total no of recorded bleeds	4		3	0	1	53						
OTHER BLEEDS							N=80					
Mean	0.2		0.4	0.7	0.0	1.3						
Median (range)	0 (0 - 2)		0 (0 - 1)	1 (0 - 1)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 – 20)						
Total no of recorded bleeds	7		4	2	0	29						
Czech National												
Hemophilia (V2V)												

The same is true for joint bleeds in adults. Situation significantly improved compared to 2015, some frequent bleeders however still remain, especially between adults with severe HA born before 1990 and still treated "on demand". They are, indeed, the candidates for tertiary prophylaxis.



	proph	iylaxi	S					
Moderate			l,	Frequer	cy of ble	eding i	n PWH	A withou
Severe		ABR (media	an)	inh	ibitor on	perma	nent p	ophylaxi
Paediatric centre	0 2	4	6 N	Mean	Median	Min	Max	Severity
Daaba		4	2	4.0	4.0	2	6	Moderat
Prana		4.5	28	5.4	4.5	0	19	Severe
Brac			0					
BINO		5	15	6.6	5.0	0	24	Severe
Octrova		3	1	3.0	3.0	3	3	Moderat
Ostrava	2		8	2.4	2.0	1	8	Severe
České Budějovice	2		2	2.0	2.0	1	3	Moderat
CESKE DUGEJOVICE		3	7	3.1	3.0	1	8	Severe
Hradoc Králová	0		2	0.0	0.0	0	0	Moderate
Thatec Malove	1		2	1.0	1.0	0	2	Severe
lístí pad Labom			0					
Osti nau Labern	2		3	2.7	2.0	0	6	Severe
Dizoč			0					
Fizen	1		4	0.8	1.0	0	1	Severe
Olemaus		5	1	5.0	5.0	5	5	Moderat
JIOHIBUC	Statements in case of	3	1	3.0	3.0	3	3	Severe

In vast majority of paediatric centres, severe haemophiliacs on prophylaxis bleed not more than 4 times per year (median). We should continue in our focus on individualized/tailored prophylaxis and shall offer it to all, who may benefit from this approach. This should also minimize the differences in ABR between centres. It is still an important challenge for all paediatric centres.

Annual blee	edi pr	ng ol	g ra phy	ate yla	e or axis	n p	berr	nan	ent	PWI adu N=8	HA on pro It centres 3
Moderate						I	requen	cy of ble	eding i	n PWH	A withou
Severe				ABR (median)		inh	ibitor on	perma	nent p	ophylaxi
Adult centre (D 4	8	12	16	20	N	Mean	Median	Min	Max	Severity
		4			<u></u>	1	4.0	4.0	4	4	Moderate
Brno	1.5					20	2.8	1.5	0	18	Severe
Ostrava			10			2	10.0	10.0	0	20	Moderate
Usuava	1					21	2.1	1.0	0	7	Severe
Plzeň						0					
T IZEN	0					12	4.6	0.0	0	40	Severe
Liberec	_					0					
	1					5	1.8	1.0	0	5	Severe
Olomouc	1					1	1.0	1.0	1	1	Moderate
	1.5					6	1.5	1.5	0	3	Severe
Hradec Králové						0					
		4				5	8.4	4.0	0	33	Severe
Ústí nad Labem	0				21	1	0.0	0.0	0	0	Moderate
					21	3	27.3	21.0	19	42	Severe
Plzeň – Haemacentre		5				0		5.0	2	45	
		3				3	1.1	5.0	3	15	Severe
České Budějovice	0					3	0.0	0.0	0	0	Severe
Czech National Hemophilia									•		1

In centres using prophylaxis in adults, the ABR differs significantly. There are also adults with severe haemophilia, who have no bleed per year on permanent prophylaxis. This should encourage us to promote further prophylaxis in more adult PWH.

Annual bl	eec pro	linរ oph	g rat iyla:	te xis	re S	egai	dle	SS		PWHA paed. centres N=114
Moderate					I	Freque	ncy of bl	eedin	g in P\	WHA without
Severe						ir	hibitor	regard	lless o	of prophylaxis
Paediatric centre	0	5	ABR (m 10	edian) 15	; N	Mean	Median	Min	Max	% on permanent prophylaxis
Praha	2				11	2.5	2.0	0	8	18.2%
Prana		3.5			32	4.8	3.5	0	19	87.5%
Brno	0	_			5	1.0	0.0	0	3	0.0%
Brio		5			16	6.3	5.0	0	24	93.8%
Ostrava				13	5	11.4	13.0	3	19	20.0%
	2				8	2.4	2.0	1	8	88.9%
České Buděiovice	2				4	2.0	2.0	1	3	50.0%
	2.5	5			8	2.9	2.5	1	8	87.5%
Hradec Králové	0				5	0.4	0.0	0	1	40.0%
	1				2	1.0	1.0	0	2	100.0%
Ústí nad Labem	0				3	0.3	0.0	0	1	0.0%
	2				5	2.6	2.0	0	6	60.0%
Plzeň					0					
	1				5	1.6	1.0	0	5	80.0%
Olomouc	15	5			3	3.7	5.0	0	6	33.3%
	1.5				2	1.5	1.5	0	3	50.0%
Czech National Hemophilia Program	-									

Ideally, children on prophylaxis should have same (lower) bleeding pattern as/than those, who do not need prophylaxis. This is in fact the goal of prophylaxis! Those, who bleed, should be given prophylaxis to decrease the bleeding rate. Those, who have not more than one joint bleed per year without prophylaxis probably do not need it. Paediatric centres should work further on this issue to reflect the fact, that children in these days want to live very active life. The discrepancy between centres should be minimized or should even disappear to guarantee the same level of care nation-wide.

Annual bl	e F	ec orc	lin op	g hy	rat la>	e kis	re	ega	rdle	SS		adult centres N=181
Moderate								Freque	ency of b	leedir	ng in F	WHA withou
Severe									inhibitor	regar	dless	of prophylaxi
Adult centre	0	10	20	AE 30	3R (med 40	lian) 50	N	Mean	Median	Min	Max	% on permane prophylaxis
Brno	0						15	0.7	0.0	0	4	6.7%
	2						32	5.0	2.0	0	30	60.6%
Ostrava	1	.5					6	5.0	1.5	0	20	33.3%
							29	2.8	1.0	0	13	72.4%
Plzeň	0	5				- 1	2	10.5	0.0	0	19	0.0% 57.1%
	-						1	0.0	0.0	0	40	0.0%
Liberec	1	3				- 1	11	6.3	3.0	0	28	45.5%
	1 1						1	1.0	1.0	1	1	100.0%
Olomouc		5.5					20	8.3	5.5	0	35	30.0%
	0.	5					2	0.5	0.5	0	1	0.0%
Hradec Kralove		3					13	9.6	3.0	0	37	33.3%
lístí nad Labam	0						3	0.0	0.0	0	0	33.3%
USU Had Labelli					37.5		8	36.3	37.5	10	60	33.3%
Plzeň – Haemacentre					34		1	34.0	34.0	34	34	0.0%
		4					4	5.8	4.0	0	15	75.0%
České Budějovice	0						1 12	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0% 25.0%
Czech National												

Similar information for adults. High ABR in some centres might be due to an individual with very severe phenotype and/or perhaps poor compliance. On the other hand, dealing with those patients should be a challenge for respective centres.

ā	and t	trea	atm	۱e	ent	οι	ıtc	on	nes			N=1:	14
				P	PERN	MANEN	IT PRO	OPHYL/	AXIS		ON TEMP	I-DEMA ORARYI	ND / PROPH
Paediatriccentre	Severity	Total N	% of patients	N		(IU/kgp	er weel	k)		ABR	N	A	BR
					Mean	Median	Min	Max	Mean	Median		Mean	Media
Praha	Moderate	11	18.2%	2	64.1	64.1	60.4	67.7	4.0	4.0	9	2.1	1.0
	Severe	32	87.5%	28	82.2	86.3	34.0	119.7	5.4	4.5	4	0.8	0.5
Brno	Moderate	5	0.0%	0							5	1.0	0.0
	Severe	16	93.8%	15	78.4	75.0	36.1	145.8	6.6	5.0	1	2.0	2.0
Ostrava	Moderate	5	20.0%	1	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4	3.0	3.0	4	13.5	13.5
	Severe	8	88.9%	8	79.0	77.2	52.4	115.4	2.4	2.0	0	0.0	0.0
Č. Buděiovice	Moderate	4	50.0%	2	46.2	46.2	23.1	69.2	2.0	2.0	2	2.0	2.0
	Severe	8	87.5%	7	65.2	71.4	29.4	83.9	3.1	3.0	1	1.0	1.0
Hradec Králové	Moderate	5	40.0%	2	45.8	45.8	12.2	79.5	0.0	0.0	3	0.7	1.0
	Severe	2	100.0%	2	79.4	79.4	58.8	100.0	1.0	1.0	0	0.0	0.0
Ústí nad Labem	Moderate	3	0.0%	0							3	0.3	0.0
	Severe	5	60.0%	3	55.5	54.1	53.6	58.8	2.7	2.0	2	2.5	2.5
Plzeň	Moderate	0	0.0%	0							0	0.0	0.0
	Severe	5	80.0%	4	63.6	73.7	23.0	84.0	0.8	1.0	1	5.0	5.0
Olomouc	Moderate	3	33.3%	1	47.6	47.6	47.6	47.6	5.0	5.0	2	3.0	3.0
	Severe	2	50.0%	1	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	3.0	3.0	1	0.0	0.0

More detailed description of prophylactic dosing/regimens used by different paediatric centres within CNHP and its correlation with annual bleeding rates in respective centres.

	and	tre	eat	n	nei	nt d	วน	tco	om	es			N	=182	
			PE			RMAN	IENT	PROPI	HYLAXI	S		TEI	ON-D	EMAN ARY PF	D / ROPH
Adult centre	Severity	Total N	% of	N	Do (sing of pr IU/kg pe	ophyl: rweek	axis ()	A	BR	Age	N	A	BR	Age
			patients		Mean	Median	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Median		Mean	Median	Media
D	Moderate	15	6.7%	1	42.9	42.9	42.9	42.9	4.0	4.0	27	14	0.4	0.0	39
вгпо	Severe	32	60.6%	20	50.2	37.6	10.9	233.3	2.8	1.5	34	12	8.6	7.0	55
0	Moderate	6	33.3%	2	39.0	39.0	23.5	54.5	10.0	10.0	66	4	2.5	1.5	51
Ostrava	Severe	29	72.4%	21	50.5	52.3	15.6	92.3	2.0	1.0	37	8	4.6	4.0	62
01X	Moderate	2	0.0%	0								2	0.0	0.0	35
Pizen	Severe	20	57.1%	12	33.9	34.9	14.7	60.0	4.6	0.0	48	8	19.3	13.5	46
	Moderate	1	0.0%	0								1	0.0	0.0	35
Liberec	Severe	11	45.5%	5	55.9	51.7	37.3	94.3	1.8	1.0	32	6	10.0	9.0	62
	Moderate	1	100.0%	1	41.1	41.1	41.1	41.1	1.0	1.0	26	0	0.0	0.0	0
Olomouc	Severe	20	30.0%	6	44.4	43.2	13.7	100.0	1.5	1.5	27	14	11.2	10.5	56
	Moderate	2	0.0%	0								2	0.5	0.5	21
Hradec Kralove	Severe	13	33.3%	5	65.5	65.9	52.6	83.3	8.4	4.0	33	8	10.4	3.0	30
	Moderate	3	33.3%	1	60.0	60.0	60.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	24	2	0.0	0.0	21
Usti n. Labem	Severe	9	33.3%	3	41.3	31.7	6.9	85.2	27.3	21.0	31	5	41.6	52.0	37
Plzeň -	Moderate	1	0.0%	0					0.0	0.0	0	1	34.0	34.0	47
Haemacentre	Severe	4	75.0%	3	33.4	21.4	18.3	60.5	7.7	5.0	43	1	0.0	0.0	34
¥	Moderate	1	0.0%	0								1	0.0	0.0	70
C. Budějovice	Severe	12	25.0%	3	59.9	70.6	34.1	75.0	0.0	0.0	40	9	0.4	0.0	51

More detailed description of prophylactic dosing/regimens used by different adult centres within CNHP and its correlation with annual bleeding rates in respective centres.


Over 80% of children treated in 2016 took the advantage of home treatment. 71% of treated children were commenced on any type of prophylaxis (was 64% in 2015) and 78% out of those on prophylaxis were on permanent prophy in 2016 (was 92% in 2015).



80% of adults treated in 2016 took the advantage of home treatment (no change compared to 2015). 50% of treated adults were commenced on any type of prophylaxis (was 45% in 2015) and 83% out of those on prophylaxis were on permanent prophy in 2016 (was 79% in 2015).







There is no major difference in demographics between HA and HB.



There is no major difference in demographics between HA and HB, perhaps adults with HB are slightly older than those, with HA.



NO HepC infection in children since late 90's. None of Czech children with HB is infected with Hepatitis C.



There has been NO NEW HepC infection in 2016.

26 PWHB ever experienced hepatitis, though some of them may have been successfully treated (numbers of successfully treated not shown here).



Very low number of HIV positive PWH due to low/no access to contaminated concentrates in 80s and 90s. Our current treatment is on a very high safety level. No new HIV reported in any PWH since late 90s.



Data	fror	n yea	ar 2	201	6 – sa	am	ple	size			laem B J=98
	Valid	persons		Pers annu in	ons with al report 2016		Pe exa in	rsons mined 2016		Pe tro in	rsons eated 2016
	Ν	%		N	%		Ν	%		Ν	%
All	98	100%	\rightarrow	95	96.9%	\rightarrow	84	85.7%	\rightarrow	63	64.3%
of them with inhibitor	1			1			1			1	
Children	35	100%	\rightarrow	34	97.1%	\rightarrow	33	94.3%	\rightarrow	19	54.3%
of them with inhibitor	1			1			1			1	
Adults	63	100%	\rightarrow	61	96.8%	\rightarrow	51	81.0%	\rightarrow	44	69.8%
of them with inhibitor	-			-			-			-	
Czech National Hemophilia Program	() (1)	BA				- 22					

See previous comment for the same slide related to HA.



Data shown document good efficacy of care provided to Czech PWH, no matter what age category they are. Mean/Median number of bleedings per year (ABR) is 5,6/3 for adults and 2,4/1 in children with severe HB.



There was no CNS bleed in children with haemophilia B in 2016. 61,6% of children had no bleed at all.



Bleeding events in adults.



This figure refers to preventive factors administration in children with HB.



This figure refers to preventive factors administration in adults with HB.





The data on bleeding rate in children with HB. The interval range in children with severe HB should be smaller, though. In general, less bleeds in children with HB.



The same is true for joint bleeds.



As well as in HA, adults with severe haemophilia B, who bleed frequently should be commenced on prophylaxis.



The same is true also for joint bleeds in PWHB.





Not all centres treat children with HB. The median ABR is relatively low, but at least one child with severe HB has ABR over 10 despite of prophylaxis.

On per Moderate Severe	ma	ane	۴t	pro	Frequency of bleeding in PWHB withour inhibitor on permanent prophylaxis						
Adult centre	0	2	4	Ineula	6 N	Mean	Median	Min	Max	Severity	
Brno	0				0	1.0	0.0	0	3	Severe	
Ostrava			3		0	3.0	3.0	1	5	Severe	
Plzeň		2			0	4.0	2.0	0	10	Severe	
Hradec Králové				4.5	0	4.5	4.5	2	7	Savara	
Ústí nad Labem	0				0	4.5	4.5	2	,	Severe	
Plzeň – Haemacentre					0	0.0	0.0		0	Severe	
České Budějovice	0		4		1	4.0	4.0	4	4	Moderate	
					1	0.0	0.0	0	0	Severe	

Similar situation for adults with HB. HB, though, means significantly less burden for patients, compared to adults with HA.

Ann rega	Annual bleeding rate regardless prophylaxis												
Moderate			Frequency of bleeding in PWHB without										
Severe		APD (modia	-	i	hibitor	regard	lless o	of prophylaxis					
Paediatric centre	0	2	4 N	Mean	Median	Min	Max	% on permanent prophylaxis					
Praha	0		6	1.3	0.0	0	5	16.7%					
	1		3	4.7	1.0	0	13	100.0%					
Brno	0		1	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0%					
	1		2	1.0	1.0	0	2	100.0%					
Ostrava			0										
	1		3	1.0	1.0	0	2	66.7%					
České Budějovice	0		1	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0%					
		1 2	1	2.0	2.0	2	2	0.0%					
Hradec Králové	-	2	0	2.0	2.0	-	-	0.070					
-			0										
Ústí nad Labem		3	1	3.0	3.0	3	3	100.0%					
	0		-	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0%					
Plzeň	-		0			-	-						
	1		1	1.0	1.0	1	1	0.0%					
Olomouc			0										
Czech National Hemophilia Program													

This slide describes the treatment of children with HB regardless of prophylaxis in those centres, which treat PWHB.

Ann rega	Annual bleeding rate regardless prophylaxis													
Moderate	WHB without													
Severe					inhibitor	regar	dless	of prophylaxis						
Adult centre	0 10	ABR (median) 20	N	Mean	Median	Min	Max	% on permanent prophylaxis						
Brno	1		4	2.5	1.0	0	8	0.0%						
БПО	3		5	4.2	3.0	0	15	60.0%						
Ostrava	2		2	2.0	2.0	2	2	0.0%						
Ostiava	1		6	3.0	1.0	0	11	60.0% 0.0% 33.3% 0.0% 75.0%						
Plzeň	2		3	3.3	2.0	0	8	0.0%						
	6		4	12.0	6.0	0	36	75.0%						
Liberec	0	16	1	0.0	0.0	0 0 0.0%								
		10	1	16.0	16.0	16	16	0.0%						
Olomouc	0.5		6	0.7	0.5	6	15	0.0%						
	7.5		4	9.0	7.5	0	15	0.0%						
Hradec Králové	2		3	3.0	2.0	0	7	66.7%						
4			0	0.0	2.0									
Ústí nad Labem	1.5		2	1.5	1.5	0	3	50.0%						
-1 Y			0											
Pizeň – Haemacentre	4		1	4.0	4.0	4	4	100.0%						
Časké Budžievie	0		1	0.0	0.0	0	0	100.0%						
Ceske Budejovice	1		2	1.0	1.0	0	2	50.0%						
Czech National Hemophilia Program							•							

This slide describes the treatment of adults with HB regardless of prophylaxis in those centres, which treat PWHB.

i	and	trea	atm	ne	ent		itc	on	ies			N=20)	
Paediatric centre	Severity	Total N	% of	PERMANENT PROPHYLAXIS							ON TEMP	N-DEMA ORARY I	MAND / ARY PROPHY ABR	
			patients	N	Mean	Median	Min	Max	Mean	Median	N	Mean	Mediar	
Decks	Moderate	6	16.7%	1	58.8	58.8	58.8	58.8	3.0	3.0	5	1.0	0.0	
Prana	Severe	3	100.0%	3	66.5	55.0	53.6	91.0	4.7	1.0	0			
Deres	Moderate	1	0.0%	0							1	0.0	0.0	
вгпо	Severe	2	100.0%	2	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	2.0	2.0	0			
Ostrava	Moderate	0												
	Severe	3	66.7%	2	60.6	60.6	59.6	61.5	1.0	1.0	1	1.0	1.0	
Č Budějovice	Moderate	1	0.0%	0							1	0.0	0.0	
C. Budejovice	Severe	0												
Hradec Králové	Moderate	1	0.0%	0							1	2.0	2.0	
	Severe	0												
Ústí nad Labem	Moderate	0												
	Severe	1	100.0%	1	55.8	55.8	55.8	55.8	3.0	3.0	0			
Plzeň	Moderate	1	0.0%	0							1	0.0	0.0	
	Severe	0												
Olomouc	Moderate	1	0.0%	0							1	1.0	1.0	
	Severe	0												

More detailed description of prophylactic dosing/regimens used by different paediatric centres within CNHP and its correlation with annual bleeding rates in respective centres.

	Pro and	opl tre	nyla eat	a n	cti nei	c re nt d	eg ou	im tco	en om	s es			P a N	WHB dult ce I=46	entres
				PERMANENT PROPHYLAXIS									ON-D MPOR	EMAN ARY PR	D/ ROPHY
Adult centre	Severity	Total N	% of patients	6 of (IU/kgperweek) ABR Age		Age	N	ABR N		Age					
	Moderate		0.0%		Mean	Median	Min	мах	Mean	Median	Median		Mean	Median	Mediar
Brno	Severe	4	0.0%	0	50.5	49.0	46.2	57.0	1.0	0.0	24	4	2.5	1.0	4/
	Moderate	2	0.0%	3	50.5	48.0	40.2	57.2	1.0	0.0	24	2	9.0	9.0	01
Ostrava	Severe	2	0.0%	2	45.0	AE O	27.0	52.7	2.0	2.0	42		2.0	2.0	23 53
	Moderate	2	0.0%	2	43.8	45.8	57.5	55.7	0.0	5.0	45	2	2.2	2.0	54
Plzeň	Severe	1	75.0%	3	8.1	6.1	5.3	13.0	4.0	2.0	40	1	36.0	36.0	3/1
	Moderate	1	0.0%	0	0.1	0.1	5.5	15.0	4.0	2.0	40	1	0.0	0.0	43
Liberec	Severe	1	0.0%	0								1	16.0	16.0	24
	Moderate	6	0.0%	0								6	0.7	0.5	43
Olomouc	Severe	4	0.0%	0								4	9.0	7.5	49
	Moderate	1	0.0%	0								1	0.0	0.0	62
Hradec Králové	Severe	3	66.7%	2	108.2	108.2	108.2	108.2	4.5	4.5	38	1	0.0	0.0	60
	Moderate	0										-			
Ústí n. Labem	Severe	2	50.0%	1	49.3	49.3	49.3	49.3	0.0	0.0	21	1	3.0	3.0	45
Plzeň -	Moderate	0													
Haemacentre	Severe	1	100.0%	1	37.5	37.5	37.5	37.5	4.0	4.0	35	0			
×	Moderate	1	100.0%	1	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	0.0	0.0	50	0			
C. Budějovice	Severe	2	50.0%	1	42.1	42.1	42.1	42.1	0.0	0.0	53	1	2.0	2.0	43
Czech National Hemophilia Program) 1							-			Ĭ	•••		

More detailed description of prophylactic dosing/regimens used by different adult centres within CNHP and its correlation with annual bleeding rates in respective centres.



Only 52,5% of children treated in 2016 took the advantage of home treatment. 52% of treated children were commenced on any type of prophylaxis and 80% out of those on prophylaxis were on permanent prophy in 2016.



86% of adults treated in 2016 took the advantage of home treatment. 40% of treated adults were commenced on any type of prophylaxis and 78% out of those on prophylaxis were on permanent prophy in 2016.





42% of PWH registered in CNHP registry and treated with any factor concentrate were treated with recombinants in 2016. The number of PWH treated with recombinants is gradually increasing over last several years. This is not only due to the recommendation of CNHP to treat PUPs with recombinants (since 2006), but also reflects switches of older children and adults to rFVIII in some cases.



Over 75% of children, who were given factor concentrate in 2016, were treated with recombinants.



Number of adult PWH treated with recombinants is slowly increasing (currently 25% of those treated with factor concentrate in 2016 and registered within CNHP registry).

Comparison of treatment in years 2015 and 2016												
	2016			2015								
N	% of all PWH	% treated PWH	N	% of all PWH	% treated PWH							
414	55.4	100.0	423	57.5	100.0							
241	32.3	58.2	261	35.5	61.7							
173	23.2	41.8	162	22.0	38.3							
333	44.6	-	313	42.5	-							
747	100.0	-	736	100.0	-							
	SON O 2015 N 414 241 173 333 747	Son of trea 2015 and 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016	Son of treatmer 2015 and 2016 2016 2016 N % of all PWH % treated PWH 414 55.4 100.0 241 32.3 58.2 173 23.2 41.8 333 44.6 - 747 100.0 -	Son of treatmenting2015 and 201620162016N% of all PWH% of all PWH% of all PWH10041455.4100.041455.4100.041455.4100.041.8100.0100.0100.0	Son of treatment in years Son of treatment in years 2015 and 2015 2016 2015 N % of all pWH N % of all pWH 1414 55.4 100.0 423 57.5 241 32.3 58.2 261 35.5 1733 23.2 41.8 162 22.0 333 44.6 - 313 42.5							

This table compares data between 2015 and 2016. E.g. you can see, that percentage of patients treated with recombinant concentrates and registered within CNHP registry changed from 38,3% in 2015 to 41,8% in 2016.

Comparis	Comparison of treatment in years 2015 and 2016													
		2016												
	N	% of all PWH	% treated PWH	N	% of all PWH	% treated PWH								
All children with treatment	145	58.5	100.0	138	56.3	100.0								
Plasma-derived factor	38	15.3	26.2	42	17.1	30.4								
Recombinant factor	107	43.1	73.8	96	<u>39.2</u>	69.6								
Without treatment	103	41.5	-	107	43.7	-								
Total	248	100.0	12	245	100.0	-								
Czech National Hemophilia Program	A													

This table compares data between 2015 and 2016. E.g. you can see, that percentage of patients treated with recombinant concentrates and registered within CNHP registry changed from 69,6% in 2015 to 73,8% in 2016.
Comparis	on o 2015	f trea 5 and	atme 2016	nt in 5	year	S	Adults
		2016			2015		
	N	% of all PWH	% treated PWH	N	% of all PWH	% treated PWH	
All children with treatment	269	108.5	185.5	285	116.3	206.5	
Plasma-derived factor	203	81.9	140.0	219	89.4	158.7	
Recombinant factor	66	26.6	45.5	66	26.9	47.8	
Without treatment	230	92.7	-	206	84.1	-	
Total	499	201.2	12	491	200.4	-	
Czech National Hemophilia Program	BA			s.ş.			

This table compares data between 2015 and 2016. E.g. you can see, that percentage of patients treated with recombinant concentrates and registered within CNHP registry changed from 47,8% in 2015 to 45,5% in 2016.

	Drug (IU)	Total annual consumption	Number of <u>treated</u> persons	Consumption per treated person	Number of valid persons	Average annual consumption per <u>valid</u> person
FVIII (IU)	Immunate	6 317 635	87	72 616.5		
	Fanhdi	7 376 500	71	103 894.4		
	Octanate	2 825 500	28	100 910.7		
	Haemate P	2 475 500	2	1 237 750.0		
	Other plasma-derived	312 500	1	312 500.0		
	FVIII PD total	19 307 635	185	104 365.6		
	Advate	12 574 800	97	129 637.1		
	Kogenate	6 114 500	45	135 877.8		
	Recombinate	2 149 000	12	179 083.3		
	Refacto	374 000	4	93 500.0		
	Other recombinant	859 000	3	286 333.3		
	FVIII REC total*	22 071 300	158	139 691.8		
	FVIII total*	41 378 935	336	123 151.6	649	63 758.0
FIX (IU)	Immunine	1 723 200	36	47 866.7	8	
	Octanine	2 101 000	21	100 047.6		
	Other plasma-derived	26 500	1	26 500.0		
	FIX PD total	3 850 700	56	68 762.5		
	Rixubis	128 000	4	32 000.0		
	Benefix	158 000	1	158 000.0		
	Other recombinant	271 506	3	90 502.0		
	FIX REC total*	557 506	8	69 688.3		
	FIX total*	4 408 206	60	73 470.1	98	44 981.7
EHL (IU)	FVIII	1 508 101.0	6	251 350.2		
	FIX	166 381.0	1	166 381.0		
"by-pass"	Feiba (U)	1 752 475	6	292 079.2		
	NovoSeven (mg)	4 121.0	11	374.6		
	Other rFVIIa (mg)	134.4	1	134.4	* excludin	g patients treated with

Absolute numbers of respective concentrates in this figure refer ONLY to the records within CNHP registry, which have been updated in 2016. The most important information on this slide is "Average annual consumption per treated person". This reflects nation-wide consumption of factor concentrate per treated PWH.

"Average annual consumption per valid person" gives us an information on the consumption per patient, regardless of his treatment status and severity of the disease. It also enables us to estimate the national-wide consumption of FVIII. As we do know, that there were 937 haemophilia A patients in 2016 (WFH survey 2016) the total consumption was approximately 59 741 246 IU of FVIII/year in the Czech Republic. (SUKL reported 64 235 500 units of FVIII purchased in CZ during 2016). In other words, it means, that the total consumption was about 5,6 IU/capita of FVIII in 2016 (SUKL reported 6 IU/capita). This is a significant increase (over 1IU/capita, cca 20%I) compared to 2015, probably due to 4 ITI (some of them high dosed) commenced in children in 2016 as well as increased number and perhaps dose of prophylaxis in adults.

Number of haemophiliacs B in the Czech Republic was 139 in 2016, the total consumption was approx. 6 252 498 IU of FIX/year, i.e. 0.59 IU/capita, thus no major change compared to 2015 (SUKL reported 6 944 750 IU of FIX purchased in 2016). New recombinant FIX were introduced in 2016.

EHL (Extended Half-Life) products are currently used only through clinical trials, though two of them were registered in CZ in 2016

Significant increase in aPCC consumption is caused mainly by aPCC prophylaxis in two children with inhibitors (in one as a part of Bonn ITI regimen), but more aPCC was used also in adults in 2016.

	Drug (IU)	Total annual consumption	Number of <u>treated</u> persons	Consumption per treated person	Number of valid persons	Average annual consumption per <u>valid</u> person
FVIII (IU)	Immunate	650 750	8	81 343.8		
	Fanhdi	1 260 500	6	210 083.3		
	Octanate	1 699 000	9	188 777.8		
	Haemate P	2 475 500	2	1 237 750.0		
	Other plasma-derived	312 500	1	312 500.0		
	FVIII PD total	6 398 250	26	246 086.5		
	Advate	6 822 800	70	97 468.6		
	Kogenate	2 488 000	25	99 520.0		
	Recombinate	0				
	Refacto	154 000	3	51 333.3		
	Other recombinant	0				
	FVIII REC total*	9 464 800	96	98 591.7		
	FVIII total*	15 863 050	120	132 192.1	213	74 474.4
FIX (IU)	Immunine	463 200	8	57 900.0		
	Octanine	90 000	4	22 500.0		
	Other plasma-derived	0				
	FIX PD total	553 200	12	46 100.0		
	Rixubis	128 000	4	32 000.0		
	Benefix	158 000	1	158 000.0		
	Other recombinant	221 506	2	110 753.0		
	FIX REC total*	507 506	7	72 500.9		
	FIX total*	1 060 706	16	66 294.1	35	30 305.9
EHL (IU)	FVIII	516 256.0	3	172 085.3		
	FIX	166 381.0	1	166 381.0		
"by-pass"	Feiba (U)	1 508 975	4	377 243.8		
	NovoSeven (mg)	1 529.0	7	218.4		
	Other rFVIIa (mg)	134.4	1	134.4	* excludin	g patients treated with

Absolute numbers of respective concentrates in this figure refer ONLY to the records within CNHP registry, which have been updated in 2016. The most important information on this slide is "Average annual consumption per treated person". This reflects nation-wide consumption of factor concentrate per treated child with haemophilia.

Please note, that amount of FVIII is higher in children (median age 10 years), than in adults (median age around 40 years)! Thus, children with average weight around 25 kg had comparable or even higher consumption of FVIII to an adult weighting around 75 kg in average. Situation is similar for FIX

	Drug (IU)	Total annual consumption	Number of <u>treated</u> persons	Consumption per treated person	Number of valid persons	Average annual consumption per valid person
FVIII (IU)	Immunate	5 666 885	79	71 732.7	5	
	Fanhdi	6 116 000	65	94 092.3		
	Octanate	1 126 500	19	59 289.5		
	Haemate P	0				
	Other plasma-derived	0				
	FVIII PD total	12 909 385	159	81 191.1		
	Advate	5 752 000	27	213 037.0		
	Kogenate	3 626 500	20	181 325.0		
	Recombinate	2 149 000	12	179 083.3		
	Refacto	220 000	1	220 000.0		
	Other recombinant	859 000	3	286 333.3		
	FVIII REC total*	12 606 500	62	203 330.6		
	FVIII total*	25 515 885	216	118 129.1	436	58 522.
FIX (IU)	Immunine	1 260 000	28	45 000.0	1	
	Octanine	2 011 000	17	118 294.1		
	Other plasma-derived	26 500	1	26 500.0		
	FIX PD total	3 297 500	44	74 943.2		
	Rixubis	0				
	Benefix	0				
	Other recombinant	50 000	1	50 000.0		
	FIX REC total*	50 000	1	50 000.0		
	FIX total*	3 347 500	44	76 079.5	63	53 134.
EHL (IU)	FVIII	991 845.0	3	330 615.0	6	
	FIX	0.0				
"by-pass"	Feiba (U)	243 500	2	121 750.0		
800 - El 197	NovoSeven (mg)	2 592.0	4	648.0		
	Other rFVIIa (mg)	0			* excludin	g patients treated with

The same data for adults with haemophilia in 2016.