

ITI – three main regimens



- Malmo
 Cost effective
 Relatively quick
 Uses immune adsorption and perhaps immune suppression
- Bonn

 - Relatively expensive
 May take up to 3 years
 Recommended especially for high titres inhibitors
- Low(er) dose regimen
 Relatively cheap
 Effective enough
 May take long time, but seldom with complications
- In average
 Effective in up to 80% of cases
 Ol Michelle et al. Thromb Haemost. 2002; Smith Pathophysiol Haemost Thromb, 2002
 Bleedings treated with by-passing agents (nowadays aPCC, rFVIIa)



itor titres a	nd FVIII dose	ə I.	
	Pre-ITI Hist	orical Titers	/ Dose
Historical Titer (BU)	Pre-ITI Titer (BU)	Dose (U/kg/d)	# Successes (%)
< 50	< 10	< 50 50-99 100-199 ≥ 200	30 /36 (83%) 33 /38 (87%) 18 /19 (95%) 23 /24 (96%)
	10 - 20	< 50 50 - 99 ≥ 200	2 /3 (67%) 11 /14 (79%) 3 /4 (75%)
	> 20	50 - 199 ≥ 200	3 /9 (39%) 1 /3 (33%)



ITI outcome predictors	
------------------------	--

	M	-
1	- Hiter	ALL AN

Inhibitor titres and FVIII dose II.

Historical Titer (BU)	Pre-ITI Titer (BU)	Dose (u/kg/d)	# Successes (%)
> 200	< 10	< 50 - 199 ≥ 200	5 /11 (45%) 7 /7 (100%)
	10 - 20	< 50 - 199 ≥ 200	1 /3 (33%) 3 /4 (75%)
	> 20	< 50 - 199 ≥ 200	1 /23 (4%) 12 /18 (6%)

Marianni and Kroner; Immune Tolerance Study Group (ITSG) Haematologica; 2001:86(11):1186-93



















I-ITI Study: Results No difference in success rate (24/58 LD vs. 22/57 HD, p=0,909) HD is either 200IU/kg/d or 100 IU/kg/d Shorter time to achieve neg. titer (3x;p=0,027), normal recovery (p=0,002) and tolerance (p=0,116 NS) in HD group Peak inhibitor titers correlated inversely with success rate Historical peak (p=0,026), on-ITI peak (0,002) ONLY on-ITI peak predicted outcome (p=0,002) If >36 IU – longer time to achieve tolerance and lower percentage of tolerance achieved in 3 years (cca 2x)









ITI regimen for HB?



- Careful consideration of ITI
- Relatively poor response rate
- Many risks (see before)
- Immune supression often needed

ITI in mild to moderate HA?



- Mild/Mod HA with
 iFVIII
 - On-demand bypassing therapy should precede ITI
 - Very low ITI success rate
- Acquired like
 bleeding phenotype
 Consider immune
 - Consider immune suppression











Use of rFVIIa to optimise conditions for ITI	the set of the set of the
"The exclusive use of rFVIIa in acute bleedi commencing ITI is an effective method of	ing episodes prior to decreasing inhibitor titre.
thereby optimizing conditions for ITI"	,
Brackmann H, et al., Bood Coagul Fibrinolysis 20	000; 11(Suppl 1):S39-44

Fair to say, that there are centres, who use aPCC from very beginning succesfully. They, however, often do not wait with ITI start for low iFVIII titres (e.g. Frankfurt centre)

Summary

114 ALL DE

Severe Haemophilia A

- It worth to wait (up to one year) for iFVIII<10BU
 If not waiting, high risk protocols should be used upfront
- In low/good risk patients both ITI regimens can be used with • similar success
 - "Different" definitions of low/good risk patients in different reports
 - Low dose regimen (50IU FVIII trice a week) may be accompanied by more bleedings
 • These may not be too serious from the clinical point of view, though
- High risk patients (historical peak >200 BU, starting titre>10 BU)

 - High dose (Bonn like) protocol is often recommended
 Certain centres recommend to commence every patient wit historical peak >5BU on HD
 protocol to minimize intercurrent bleedings
 Consider always resources available, compliance etc... (HD protocol is quicker, perhaps
 safer, but often far more expensive)
- ITI study showed
 - 2001U/kg/d FVIII equally effective to 100 IU/kg/d FVIII
 the on-ITI peak more predictive for the outcome
 No influence of CVADs in good risk patients

Summary



Mild/Moderate Haemophilia A

- ITI is not the first line treatment
- If "Acquired-like" bleeding phenotype consider immune suppression

Haemophilia B

- Careful consideration of ITI
- Relatively poor response rate
- Many risks associated
- Immune suppression often needed